United States District Court for FILED
the Enstern District of North Carolina, OCT 3 2011
164.0.
NO. 5:08-C4-3168-D DENNIS P. IAVARONE, C. ERK US DISTRICT COURT, EDNC BY DEP CLK
LACY lee, Williams JR. Plantiff Request Reverse, Judgment Due to plantiff's Submitting his opposition to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment.
Plantiff) to plaintiff's Submitting his opposition to
Defendants Motion for Summary Judoment.
V5.
Aramark food Service et al.
Defendants
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Now Comes the philith Herein pro per litigant, who move this Court to heverse it's September 26,2011 Judgment made by U.S. District Judge
Reverse it's September 26,2011 Judgment made by U.S. District Judge
JAMES C. Dever ITT IN which plaintiff submitted his Jeclaration in
Opposition to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment on or About July 15th 2011, And Will show the Court the following:
July 15th 2011 and Will show the Court the following:
- ord to dearly the remarkable
Brief History
Dilet msiorg
1. On MArch 18,2011, Aramark filed a motion to dismiss for insufficiency of Service of process.
- Contract process.
2. ON June 3. JOSE JOSE JOSE THOSINGENTS OF FILED AS STURAMANDE JUNGMENT

J.

3. ON JUNE 6,2011, The Court Notified plaintiff About the motion for Summary Judgment. 4. On June 14,2011. The plaintiff filed a motion to stay due to plaintiff legal proporty being UnConstitutionally taken which Caused an injury to respond at the time to the defendants Summary Judgment. 5. To date the plaintiff Lacy be Williams Jr. Submitted AN Declaration in opposition to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment on July 15, CONCLUSION in fact: The plaintiff Completed and Sent on July 15th 2011 AN Declaration in opp-Osition to Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment to the U.S. District Court, EASTERN District of North Carolina, Western Quision; And, to John A. MAX-Field, Attorney Departy for the defendants through the North Carolina Depti of Correction legal mail System. Once plaintiff que his legal mail to AN Correc-HOUR Officers it is their responsibility to make sure it is delivered to the mail room of the facility for legal postage and delivery. But Apparently, this process of properly delivering plannet mail or the distriction of plannets mail has been divested.

Therefore, the plannet have enclosed his personal Carbon Copy, 30 page Declaration in apposition to Defendants motion for Summary Judgment to show the Court that the plannet Sout and An Completed Response the opposition to the Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment. Wheretore, Die to able conference mount out and house was four Gages Charge showing the

Pg (3) 5108-C+-3168-D
Court Should Reverse it's Judgment in plaintiff favor as justice so requires.
The factor of th
Pursuant to 2811. S.C. & MYG. I declare Under penalty of perjury that the fore-
Pursuant to 2811.S.C. & 1746. I declare Under penalty of perjury that the fore- oping is true and Correct. Executed this 26th day 2011
Lay Lee Williamo Ils
020-1 CC ,
Certificate of Service
THosel Contiled that how Cout the frame "Rouses Tidencest"
THereby Cortified that i have Sent the foregoing hoverse Judgement, by placing it in the mail box VIA first dass, and delivered to the following Court and Address:
Court And Address:
JAMES C. DeverIII CC: LACY lee, Williams JR. BYR843
US. District Judge. Pro per litigast PoBoy 25670 Central Prison In Statistical
Polson 25670 Control Prison In Introl
EAST. DIST. OF. N.C. 1300 WESTERN Blvd
Roleigh, N.C. 27601. RAleigh, N.C. 27606.
1 / 1/11 010
Zacy Lee Williams lk Ejecuted September 26,2011.
Techner seprement & c, xx 111
Case 5:08-ct-03168-D Document 36 Filed 10/03/11 Page 3 of 3